UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Robert Anthony Sepulveda,	
et al.	CASE NO. <u>15-cv-02272-HSGJSW</u>
Plaintiff(s),	
V.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
Con-way Freight, Inc.	ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(a)	
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have me following stipulation pursuant to Civil L	t and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the .R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the fol	lowing ADR process:
appreciably more likely to meet their nee ADR phone conference and may not file ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Private Process:	(ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is eds than any other form of ADR must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
(mediator TBD)	
referring the case to an A	on by: (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order DR process unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	May 1, 2016
Dated: 8/11/15	Kristina L. Fluetsch Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 8/10/2015	Barrett K. Green Attorney for Defendant

The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

JUDGE

Dated: 08/20/2015

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."